

Election complaint #3

Misinformation regarding the rules of the campus specific balloting

On Monday, January 16, 2006, I was discussing the specifics of how the balloting for campus specific positions were going to work in this election; Specifically, whether or not one could only vote on the campus specific positions on all campus' or just on the campus that the specific position is tied to. For example, I asked whether or not surrey Director could be voted on by students at all campus' or only by students at the surrey campus itself. To this, The CRO, Amar Randhawa, replied that he believed that only Surrey students could vote on Surrey positions, only Langley students could vote on Langley positions, Richmond students only on Richmond positions, etc, and that he would double-check to be sure and get back to me. I never received the email that was promised, and so continued to be under the impression that only students of that campus could vote on its positions. This apparently was not the case however, as I have since been informed that, in fact, all students were able to vote on all positions, including the campus specific positions for campus' other then their own.

This has a direct material impact on the election, due to the fact that it would have changed ratio of campaigners that would have been on the different campus'. For instance, Less people would have been on Langley campus had it been known that we could receive more votes for the Langley positions from campaigning on Richmond or Surrey then on Langley itself. This obviously put my party at a severe disadvantage by allowing the other parties to utilize their members more effectively then ours. For this reason and others, this election should be declared invalid and a new one called.