

[Print - Close Window](#)

From ombuds@myksa.ca Fri Jan 27 04:24:16 2006

Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 04:24:16 -0800

From: ombuds@myksa.ca

To: steveleenow@yahoo.com, deathpilot11@yahoo.com

Subject: Fw: Notice of Election Appeal to Ombudsperson - Notification # 2 (Ombudsperson's numbering)

One more e-mail that I may not have sent you two yet.

-Paul

-----Original message-----

From: ombuds@myksa.ca

Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 03:44:22 -0800

To: elections@myksa.ca

Subject: Notice of Election Appeal to Ombudsperson - Notification # 2 (Ombudsperson's numbering)

To: Mr. Amar Randhawa and members of the KSA Board of Directors

As per my previous e-mail, here is the 2nd appeal that I received before the 8pm deadline on Monday, January 23rd. It was received on Monday, January 23rd at 15:46 pm.

The appeal was received by e-mail from Mr. Rob Mumford and is included below. There are no additional attachments.

As per Section X, Article 11 (9) of the regulations (as posted online), the CRO may choose, at his discretion, to make a written response to the appeal. While the regulations do not give a timeframe in which such a written response by the CRO must be submitted, given the 72 hour turnaround time that the regulations call for, I would suggest that such a response come within 24 hours of my sending this e-mail. If the CRO could inform me if he intends to submit a response later than 24 hours, that would be greatly appreciated.

Finally, since the e-mails for all individual campus council members aren't listed in the KSA webmail system, could all Campus Directors please ensure that this message gets sent to all Campus Council members.

-----Original message-----

From: Rob Mumford robert.e.mumford@gmail.com

Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 15:46:55 -0800

To: ombuds@myksa.ca

Subject: Complaint (Appeal)

To: KSA Ombudsperson Paul Browning

I would like to make an appeal (by which I mean complaint) of the KSA January 2006 election in the matter of the use of KSA property by the previous elected officials. My grievance in this matter is the

RAF's refusal to produce any minutes (i.e. voting records) of Executive Board of Directors Meetings or Council Meetings that were held since June 2005. This also included any governing bodies that may have replaced these bodies since the September 2005 General Meeting. I made multiple requests during the one week nomination period before the election, requesting these minutes. I in that time I was told Aaron Takar who is the leader of the RAF was the only person possessed these . Because of this I left messages with the Surrey Campus Business Office and the KSA Executive Advisor, for Aaron to either post the minutes or allow me to see them. Eventually I did meet with Aaron on Wednesday, January 11th by coming to an All-Candidates Meeting being held for the Federal Election. At that time I was told I would receive the Minutes, by late Thursday night, by which Aaron would post them onto the KSA website.

This did not happen on Thursday and so I came to the Surrey Campus Office on Friday January 13th to find out what happened. Aaron told me he was too busy to give me any of the minutes, however this is hard to believe as he had a week to produce even one of the minutes. The very problem with is that he would not even print a copy of them for me, which leaves me to suspect this was done intentionally to prevent me or any opposition groups from criticizing the RAF's decisions during the election. Because these minutes are KSA property just as a book is the property of its writer and publisher, I believe this falls under the elections rules of the KSA in which those in power cannot use KSA property in the election. In this case the minutes were used to effect the election, by keeping the opposition and students in the dark about RAF decisions.

I did make several calls and sent an email on January 10th to the CRO for the election, but was informed he would not intervene in this matter as he felt the issue was not under his mandate for holding a fair election. Although I understand and agree with the CRO's decision to stay out of this matter, I do argue that by preventing the opposition or any student from seeing these records casts doubt in how students could make an informed vote during the election.

Thank you for taking the time to read my complaint and I hope to hear from you shortly on the matter.

Sincerely
Robert Edward Mumford

Forwarded Message

000000000000000000000000

Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 03:44:21 -0800

From: ombuds@myksa.ca

To: elections@myksa.ca, amar_randhawa@shaw.ca

Subject: Notice of Election Appeal to Ombudsperson - Notification # 2 (Ombudsperson's numbering)

Plain Text Attachment

To: Mr. Amar Randhawa and members of the KSA Board of Directors

As per my previous e-mail, here is the 2nd appeal that I received before the 8pm deadline on Monday, January 23rd. It was received on Monday, January 23rd at 15:46 pm.

The appeal was received by e-mail from Mr. Rob Mumford and is included below. There are no additional attachments.

As per Section X, Article 11 (9) of the regulations (as posted online), the CRO may choose, at his discretion, to make a written response to the appeal. While the regulations do not give a timeframe in which such a written response by the CRO must be submitted, given the 72 hour turnaround time that the regulations call for, I would suggest that such a response come within 24 hours of my sending this e-mail. If the CRO could inform me if he intends to submit a response later than 24 hours, that would be greatly appreciated.

Finally, since the e-mails for all individual campus council members aren't listed in the KSA webmail system, could all Campus Directors please ensure that this message gets sent to all Campus Council members.

-----Original message-----

From: Rob Mumford robert.e.mumford@gmail.com
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 15:46:55 -0800
To: ombuds@myksa.ca
Subject: Complaint (Appeal)

To: KSA Ombudsperson Paul Browning

I would like to make an appeal (by which I mean complaint) of the KSA January 2006 election in the matter of the use of KSA property by the previous elected officials. My grievance in this matter is the RAF's refusal to produce any minutes (i.e. voting records) of Executive Board of Directors Meetings or Council Meetings that were held since June 2005. This also included any governing bodies that may have replaced these bodies since the September 2005 General Meeting. I made multiple requests during the one week nomination period before the election, requesting these minutes. I in that time I was told Aaron Takar who is the leader of the RAF was the only person possessed these . Because of this I left messages with the Surrey Campus Business Office and the KSA Executive Advisor, for Aaron to either post the minutes or allow me to see them. Eventually I did meet with Aaron on Wednesday, January 11th by coming to an All-Candidates Meeting being held for the Federal Election. At that time I was told I would receive the Minutes, by late Thursday night, by which Aaron would post them onto the KSA website.

This did not happen on Thursday and so I came to the Surrey Campus Office on Friday January 13th to find out what happened. Aaron told me he was too busy to give me any of the minutes, however this is hard to believe as he had a week to produce even one of the minutes. The very problem with this is that he would not even print a copy of them for me, which leaves me to suspect this was done intentionally to prevent me or any opposition groups from criticizing the RAF's decisions during the election. Because these minutes are KSA property just as a book is the property of its writer and publisher, I believe this falls under the elections rules of the KSA in which those in power cannot use KSA property in the election. In this case the

minutes were used to effect the election, by keeping the opposition and students in the dark about RAF decisions.

I did make several calls and sent an email on January 10th to the CRO for the election, but was informed he would not intervene in this matter as he felt the issue was not under his mandate for holding a fair election. Although I understand and agree with the CROs decision to stay out of this matter, I do argue that by preventing the opposition or any student from seeing these records casts doubt in how students could make an informed vote during the election.

Thank you for taking the time to read my complaint and I hope to hear from you shortly on the matter.

Sincerely
Robert Edward Mumford

Forwarded Message

Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 15:46:55 -0800
From: "Rob Mumford" <robert.e.mumford@gmail.com>
To: ombuds@myksa.ca
Subject: Complaint (Appeal)

Plain Text Attachment

To: KSA Ombudsperson Paul Browning

I would like to make an appeal (by which I mean complaint) of the KSA January 2006 election in the matter of the use of KSA property by the previous elected officials. My grievance in this matter is the RAF's refusal to produce any minutes (i.e. voting records) of Executive Board of Directors Meetings or Council Meetings that were held since June 2005. This also included any governing bodies that may have replaced these bodies since the September 2005 General Meeting. I made multiple requests during the one week nomination period before the election, requesting these minutes. I in that time I was told Aaron Takar who is the leader of the RAF was the only person possessed these . Because of this I left messages with the Surrey Campus Business Office and the KSA Executive Advisor, for Aaron to either post the minutes or allow me to see them. Eventually I did meet with Aaron on Wednesday, January 11th by coming to an All-Candidates Meeting being held for the Federal Election. At that time I was told I would receive the Minutes, by late Thursday night, by which Aaron would post them onto the KSA website.

This did not happen on Thursday and so I came to the Surrey Campus Office on Friday January 13th to find out what happened. Aaron told me he was to busy to give me any of the minutes, however this is hard to believe as he had a week to produce even one of the minutes. The very problem with is that he would not even print a copy of them for me, which leaves me to suspect this was done intentionally to prevent me or any opposition groups from criticizing the RAF's decisions during the election. Because these minutes are KSA property just as a book is the property of its writer and publisher, I believe

this falls under the elections rules of the KSA in which those in power cannot use KSA property in the election. In this case the minutes were used to effect the election, by keeping the opposition and students in the dark about RAF decisions.

I did make several calls and sent an email on January 10th to the CRO for the election, but was informed he would not intervene in this matter as he felt the issue was not under his mandate for holding a fair election. Although I understand and agree with the CROs decision to stay out of this matter, I do argue that by preventing the opposition or any student from seeing these records casts doubt in how students could make an informed vote during the election.

Thank you for taking the time to read my complaint and I hope to hear from you shortly on the matter.

Sincerely
Robert Edward Mumford