

[Print - Close Window](#)

From ombuds@myksa.ca Fri Jan 27 04:23:30 2006

---

**X-Apparently-To:** steveleenow@yahoo.com via 206.190.49.14; Fri, 27 Jan 2006 04:23:33 -0800

---

**X-Originating-IP:** [24.86.64.192]

---

**Return-Path:** <ombuds@myksa.ca>

---

**Authentication-Results:** mta100.mail.mud.yahoo.com from=myksa.ca; domainkeys=neutral (no sig)

---

**Received:** from 24.86.64.192 (EHLO mail.myksa.ca) (24.86.64.192) by mta100.mail.mud.yahoo.com with SMTP; Fri, 27 Jan 2006 04:23:33 -0800

---

**Received:** from localhost ([127.0.0.1]) by mail.myksa.ca (Merak 8.2.4) with SMTP id CMI38622; Fri, 27 Jan 2006 04:23:30 -0800

---

**Date:** Fri, 27 Jan 2006 04:23:30 -0800

---

**From:** ombuds@myksa.ca

---

**To:** steveleenow@yahoo.com,

---

**Subject:** Fw: Notice of Election Appeal to Ombudsperson - Notification # 3 (Ombudsperson's numbering)

---

**Message-ID:** <b5592227b86f2a655461f1d8e4094486@myksa.ca>

---

**X-Mailer:** IceWarp Web Mail 5.5.1

---

**X-Originating-IP:** 154.20.123.68

---

**MIME-Version:** 1.0

---

**Content-Type:** multipart/mixed; boundary="--52F7AC51F7CE7565DBD412C0B5767BAB"

---

**Content-Length:** 2619

---

Hi Steve and Laura.

I'm not sure if I sent you the e-mail below. I may have made a mistake with cutting and pasting e-mail addresses.

In case you are wondering why I am sending you two these e-mails, it is because under the KSA regulations, I am required to notify members of the KSA Board of Directors of any election appeals to the Ombudsperson. Since, based on my earlier report I concluded that the September 29th, 2005 SGM was invalid, in addition to the fact that I have no knowledge of any resolutions passed at that meeting being received by the registrar of societies, I still consider you two to be directors of the society and am therefore forwarding copies of these appeals to both of you.

-Paul Browning  
Ombudsperson

-----Original message-----

From: [ombuds@myksa.ca](mailto:ombuds@myksa.ca)  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 04:07:40 -0800  
To: [elections@myksa.ca](mailto:elections@myksa.ca)  
Subject: Notice of Election Appeal to Ombudsperson - Notification # 3 (Ombudsperson's numbering)

To: Mr. Amar Randhawa and members of the KSA Board of Directors

As per my previous e-mail, here is the 3rd appeal that I received before the 8pm deadline on Monday, January 23rd. It was received on Monday, January 23rd at 7:29 pm.

The appeal was received by e-mail from Mr. Nathan Griffiths and is included below. There are no additional attachments.

As per Section X, Article 11 (9) of the regulations (as posted online), the CRO may choose, at his discretion, to make a written response to the appeal. While the regulations do not give a timeframe in which such a written response by the CRO must be submitted, given the 72 hour turnaround time that the regulations call for, I would suggest that such a response come within 24 hours of my sending this e-mail. If the CRO could inform me if he intends to submit a response later than 24 hours, that would be greatly appreciated.

Finally, since the e-mails for all individual campus council members aren't listed in the KSA webmail system, could all Campus Directors please ensure that this message gets sent to all Campus Council members.

-Paul Browning  
Ombudsperson  
Kwantlen University College Student Association

-----Original message-----

From: Nathan Griffiths \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 19:29:48 -0800  
To: [ombuds@myksa.ca](mailto:ombuds@myksa.ca)  
Subject: Voting at all Campus

Dear Paul Browning

My name is Nathan Griffiths and I ran for the position of Langley Member at Large. I noticed that a student could vote for a candidate who is running for a position on a different campus (e.i. a student who only takes classes in Surrey can vote for someone running in Langley) I think that this hinders the KSA's ability to serve the needs of the students and the campus they represent. At the federal level people in Vancouver can not vote for a candidate running in Halifax, because the people in Vancouver do not know what is important to the people in Halifax. After talking to many students about the election results I know that many students share my views. Therefore, I am suggesting that students can only vote for candidate run for positions on their own campus and to my understanding this is how KSA elections have been run in the past.

You can contact me by phoning me at ( ) - or e-mail me back at \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for your time  
Nathan Griffiths

---

Find your next car at <http://autos.yahoo.ca>

---

**Forwarded Message**

---

000000000000000000000000

---

**Date:** Fri, 27 Jan 2006 04:07:36 -0800

---

**From:** ombuds@myksa.ca

---

**To:** elections@myksa.ca, amar\_randhawa@shaw.ca

---

**Subject:** Notice of Election Appeal to Ombudsperson - Notification # 3 (Ombudsperson's numbering)

---

**Message-ID:** <02e1024b6fa42689d9d00cee42b4e0a9@myksa.ca>

---

**X-Mailer:** IceWarp Web Mail 5.5.1

---

**X-Originating-IP:** 154.20.123.68

---

**MIME-Version:** 1.0

---

**Content-Type:** multipart/mixed; boundary="--2220F4E80D4B23B5248FBB68DC6B66BC"

---

**Plain Text Attachment**

---

To: Mr. Amar Randhawa and members of the KSA Board of Directors

As per my previous e-mail, here is the 3rd appeal that I received before the 8pm deadline on Monday, January 23rd. It was received on Monday, January 23rd at 7:29 pm.

The appeal was received by e-mail from Mr. Nathan Griffiths and is included below. There are no additional attachments.

As per Section X, Article 11 (9) of the regulations (as posted online), the CRO may choose, at his discretion, to make a written response to the appeal. While the regulations do not give a timeframe in which such a written response by the CRO must be submitted, given the 72 hour turnaround time that the regulations call for, I would suggest that such a response come within 24 hours of my sending this e-mail. If the CRO could inform me if he intends to submit a response later than 24 hours, that would be greatly appreciated.

Finally, since the e-mails for all individual campus council members aren't listed in the KSA webmail system, could all Campus Directors please ensure that this message gets sent to all Campus Council members.

-Paul Browning  
Ombudsperson  
Kwantlen University College Student Association

-----Original message-----

From: Nathan Griffiths  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 19:29:48 -0800  
To: [ombuds@myksa.ca](mailto:ombuds@myksa.ca)  
Subject: Voting at all Campus

Dear Paul Browning

My name is Nathan Griffiths and I ran for the position of Langley Member at Large. I noticed that a student could vote for a candidate who is running for a position on a different campus (e.i. a student who only takes classes in Surrey can vote for someone running in Langley) I think that this hinders the KSA's ability to serve the needs of the students and the campus they represent. At the federal level people in Vancouver can not vote for a candidate running in Halifax, because the people in Vancouver do not know what is important to the people in Halifax. After talking to many students about the election results I know that many students share my views. Therefore, I am suggesting that students can only vote for candidate run for positions on their own campus and to my understanding this is how KSA elections have been run in the past.

You can contact me by phoning me at \_\_\_\_\_ or  
e-mail me back at \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for your time  
Nathan Griffiths

---

Find your next car at <http://autos.yahoo.ca>

---

### Forwarded Message

<b>Received:</b>	from web54503.mail.yahoo.com ([206.190.49.153]) by mail.myksa.ca (Merak 8.2.4) with SM
<b>Received:</b>	(qmail 96610 invoked by uid 60001); 24 Jan 2006 03:29:48 -0000
<b>DomainKey-Signature:</b>	a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=noaws; s=s1024; d=yahoo.ca; h=Message-ID:Received:Date:From:Subject:To:; b=Nw0YUNOXgBe8ZkEtJw8HJJ6MbpnDJ75oZVTPPyIB0mpukYrm1U3VTm/3a+XfaJXM/GVp9cc
<b>Message-ID:</b>	<20060124032948.96608.qmail@web54503.mail.yahoo.com>
<b>Received:</b>	from [70.71.146.212] by web54503.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Mon, 23 Jan 2006 22:29:48 E
<b>Date:</b>	Mon, 23 Jan 2006 22:29:48 -0500 (EST)
<b>From:</b>	"Nathan Griffiths" <it12nathan@yahoo.ca>
<b>Subject:</b>	Voting at all Campus
<b>To:</b>	ombuds@myksa.ca
<b>MIME-Version:</b>	1.0
<b>Content-Type:</b>	text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
<b>Content-Transfer-Encoding:</b>	8bit

---

### Plain Text Attachment

Dear Paul Browning

My name is Nathan Griffiths and I ran for the position of Langley Member at Large. I noticed that a student could vote for a candidate who is running for a position on a different campus (e.i. a student who only takes classes in Surrey can vote for someone running in Langley) I think that this hinders the KSA's ability to serve the needs of the students and the campus they represent. At the federal level people in Vancouver can not vote for a candidate running in Halifax, because the people in Vancouver do not know what is important to the people in Halifax. After talking to many students about the election results I know that many students share my views. Therefore, I am suggesting that students can only vote for candidate run for positions on their own campus and to my understanding this is how KSA elections have been run in the past.

You can contact me by phoning me at \_\_\_\_\_ or  
e-mail me back at \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for your time  
Nathan Griffiths

---

Find your next car at <http://autos.yahoo.ca>